

REMARKS

The Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for thoroughly reviewing and considering the pending application. The Office Action dated November 10, 2005 has been received and carefully reviewed. Claims 1, 2, and 8 have been amended. Claims 15-35 have been withdrawn. Accordingly, claims 1-14 are currently pending. Reexamination and reconsideration are respectfully requested.

Initially, the Applicants wish to thank Examiner Datskovskiy for taking the time to speak with the Applicants' representative on January 17, 2006. During the conversation, Examiner Datskovskiy confirmed that claims 15-35 have been withdrawn from consideration.

The Applicants also thank the Examiner for indicating that claims 2 and 8-14 include allowable subject matter.

In the Office Action, the Examiner objected to claims 3-7 indicating that each of the claims recite a specific shape of a pin. The Office Action indicated that according to the specification, a piece 33 has different shapes, and not the pin. The Applicants respectfully submit that the pins also have the shapes recited in the claims, as set forth in paragraph [0052], lines 8-10, of the originally filed specification. As such, the Applicants request that the objection be withdrawn.

In addition, the Office Action rejected claims 1 and 7 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,128,183 to *Uchiyama et al.* (hereinafter "*Uchiyama*"). The Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

As required in Chapter 2131 of the M.P.E.P., in order to anticipate a claim under 35 U.S.C. §102, "the reference must teach every element of the claim." The Applicant respectfully submits that *Uchiyama* does not teach every element recited in claims 1 and 7. Thus, *Uchiyama* cannot anticipate these claims. More specifically, claim 1 has been amended to recite a liquid

crystal display (LCD) device, comprising, among other features, “a system back case having at least one piece holder... at least one bracket having at least one pin and at least one piece, wherein the at least one piece is inserted into the piece holder.” The Applicants submit that *Uchiyama* does not disclose this feature. Accordingly, claim 1, along with claim 7 which depends therefrom, is patentable over *Uchiyama* and the Applicants request that the rejection be withdrawn.

Furthermore, the Office Action rejected claims 3-7 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Uchiyama*. The Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection.

As required in Chapter 2143.03 of the M.P.E.P., in order to “establish *prima facie* obviousness of the claimed invention, all the limitations must be taught or suggested by the prior art.” As discussed with respect to claim 1, the base claim from which claims 3-7 depend, *Uchiyama* does not disclose all the features recited therein. Similarly, *Uchiyama* does not suggest the feature of having at least one piece “inserted into the piece holder.” Therefore, claims 3-7 are patentable over *Uchiyama* and the Applicants request that the rejection be withdrawn.

The application is in a condition for allowance and favorable action is respectfully solicited. If for any reason the Examiner believes a conversation with the Applicant’s representative would facilitate the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned attorney at (202) 496-7500. All correspondence should continue to be sent to the below-listed address.

If these papers are not considered timely filed by the Patent and Trademark Office, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. §1.136, and any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 for any necessary extension of time, or any other fees required to complete the


Application No.: 10/691,599
Amdt. dated February 10, 2006
Reply to Office Action dated November 10, 2005

Docket No.: 8733.921.00

filing of this response, may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-0911. Please credit any overpayment to deposit Account No. 50-0911. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Dated: February 10, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

By 
Rebecca G. Rudich

Registration No.: 41,786
McKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP
1900 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 496-7500
Attorney for Applicant